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The 6th Meeting is lead by
Jean PETERS, President of FLN (JPS)
(27th March 2015)

Item 1. Official Opening

JPS opens the meeting at 09.15 and welcomes all delegates, INF-FNI representatives as well as accompanying persons and expects a fair collaboration, so that we will duly reach the set objectives.

Item 2. Greetings by the INF President

SIO welcomes all delegates and visitors in Luxembourg and thanks JPS for the excellent preparation works for this meeting.

Item 3. Presentation of the Delegates

The Delegates present themselves and confirm their number of votes.

JPS: I have received a mail from the DNU Representative, saying that unfortunately he will not be able to participate, due to a mistake in the booking (date) by an airline. (JPS reads this e-mail and adds that the DNU now suffers from financial losses and we should find a solution to partly reimburse the incurred costs.)

DNU (Soren V. Frank) has told me by phone that the SNF shall represent the DNU during this EuNat meeting and that he will confirm this by mail.

JPS-ENC notes that with the procura of the DNU 15 federations totalling 164 votes are present or represented.

The INF has received an e-mail from the BN President, where BN expresses their sympathy with the affected countries (Germany, France and Spain) regarding the crash of the Germanwings flight. SIO reads the e-mail.

Item 4: Election of 2 scrutineers

Rosita (FENAIT) and Eit (NFN) are willing to take over this task. All delegates agree.

Item 5. Approval of the Agenda

All delegates agree with the presented agenda.

Item 6. Reports by the EuNatCom Membres

6.1 Reports by the Sports Officer

JPS: As in June 2014 Erika had provisionally taken over the position of the Sports Officer from Jim, it was impossible for her to write a report on this. Therefore I have included her 2 sports reports (Petanque and Swimming) in this document as information.

6.2 Reports by the Youth Representative

EZR-ENC reads the activity report of the ENY Youth.

NCT-BN has a question for EZR regarding the registration for the Youth Meeting in Berlin and a question regarding age limits at the Youth section.

EZR-ENC replies that the age limit will be discussed during the next Youth Meeting.
JPS-ENC notes that the present ENY Rules of Procedures still are valid, specifying exceptions as to the age limits, which must be adhered to.

EZN-SNU asks whether it is possible to get a report by the organizing body, with details of the number of participants and the participating federations?

JPS-ENC replies that these statistics are available in the open Download and remits a printed form of the statistics to EZN. All the reports of the events are also available in the open Download.

6.3 a-b Reports by the ENC Secretary-Finance

JPS reads the report and gives some remarks related to this report:
- Account 9030 (Petanque). The organizer has exceeded the budget of € 5.000 by € 1028,45 and JPS has suggested him to present this during the Budget 2015, as this needs an approval by the EuNat Assembly.
- Account 9040 DFK Rosenfelder Strand: no statements of revenues.
- Account 9050 FEN-Family Meeting: Budget exceeded. However these additional costs have been absorbed by the FEN.

JPS also mentions the statistics regarding the Youth Budgets since 2010, which show that for this period ENY has spent only 24% of its allocated budget. The ENC Budget closes with an excess of 62’589.32€. This amount has also been confirmed by the INF and the INF Cash Checkers. This document is available on the last page of the Financial Report.

EZN-SNU refers to Account 9220: Why is there a discount of € 350 for advertizing in favour of Valamar-Solaris? JPS transfers the question to RBH, who answers.

SIO-INF: Valamar allows substantial discounts for Alpe-Adria as well as for the Youth Meetings. As counterpart the discount of € 350.

NCT-BN has questions about the ENY budget and thinks that too much money is available to the youth group. However, he admits that it is impossible to estimate the number of participants in advance.

EZR-ENY explains that he has no problem with that.

SIO-INF thinks that it is nearly impossible for the youth group to organize more than 2 events per year. It is also up to the federations to assist the youth groups of ENY and to do their best to support them.

ENR-DFK considers the support for the youth being very important, but they (ENY) should also take more initiatives on their own and concentrate more on promotions and advertizings for their events, and asks the federations to give more support to the youth group.

AJR-FFN also feels that the federations should assist the youth group more in their logistics.
**JPS:** Short communication by Fuuskeaul to the delegates. Internet is now connected and available free of charge.

**GRI-FENAIT** gives information about the youth in Italy. He regrets that the NFN youth had not participated there and asks why?

Voting about the ENC Budget 2014:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstentions</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ENC Budget 2014 is unanimously approved with 164 votes.

Break

**Item 7: Motion for the Discharge of the ENC Members.**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstentions</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discharge of the ENC Members has been unanimously given with 164 votes.

**Item 8 – Motions**

**8.1 Motion by FENAIT**

**GRI-FENAIT** presents his motion.

He criticizes that the NFN presents Italian sites on their website, which are not member of the Italian federation (FENAIT). He gives as example 2 sites, which are only partially naturist and therefore do not comply with the INF certifications.

He refers to a decision at the INF World Congress in Ireland in 2014, where it has not been permitted to accept sites or individual members as members of the INF, which are not affiliated to a national federation.

He also regrets that the NFN President is not present at EuNat 2015 and asks why?

**HGN-NFN:** The policy at the NFN is to present to their members all naturist sites on its website. There are also Dutch sites and associations, which are not affiliated to the NFN. In case we receive complaints about such sites/associations, these entries are cancelled.

**GRI-FENAIT** talks about the certification of the sites and asks why the INF motion at the World Congress 2014 has not been approved, when various federations do not adhere to the present valid INF conditions. He mentions that he is negotiating with the NFN for 6 months, without success.
**JPS-ENC:** It would be interesting to read the attached report by the Legal Counsellor Hervé B. (Statement, see Motion 8.1, page 2)

**Recommendation:** withdraw or at least make clear that these sites/associations are not affiliated to the INF. JPS asks NFN, whether they agree with this recommendation?

**HGN-NFN** agrees with the proposal from the INF Legal Council.

**SIO-INF** mentions that right now the NFN is doing what has not been approved to the INF at the World Congress 2014. *(And had not been accepted by the NFN either.)*

**HGN-NFN** confirms once more that the non-affiliated sites/associations will clearly be marked.

**GRI-FENAIT** thinks that before any publication, the NFN should first verify whether these sites/associations correspond to the INF conditions.

**HGN-NFN:** Before we publish such sites/associations, we inform ourselves by questionnaires whether they fulfil the INF criteria. Should one site/association not correspond, we ask the federations concerned to let us know. Then, after an investigation, the site/association will be withdrawn right away.

**GRI-FENAIT:** In case the site corresponds to the conditions and is not “sexual”, it should join the national federation!

**HGN-NFN** proposes that the NFN and the FENAIT should sit together to solve this problem.

**PLK-FLN** is afraid that customers could assume that the INF sites are more expensive than the sites not affiliated to the INF and so could give preference to these sites?

**JPS-ENC** proposes that, whenever possible, the federations should present 2 separate columns in their website, where one column lists the sites affiliated to the INF, the second columns those non-affiliated to INF.

**HGN-NFN** repeats the proposal about a collaboration NFN-FENAIT.

**JPS-ENC:** At the moment of a new application of an association for the INF website, I also recheck with the federation concerned, whether this association is affiliated. Otherwise it will not be released for the INF website, which I am responsible for.

**GVK-CZ:** What is the conclusion now for this? We have the same problems, as one Hungarian tour operator wants to make advertizings with us, but is not member of FENHU. What should I tell them now?

**GRI-FENAIT** thinks, one should not be too accurate on this, but verify the data very carefully. In case such an association wants to be member with us, one should not reject right away, but verify it.
**JPS-ENC** to **GVK-CZ**: Had you already checked with the President of FENHU?

**GVK-CZ**: This group exists for some time already, and for me it is rather a personal issue and they should clarify their own matters first.

**ENR-DFK** thinks that the 2 federations should solve their problem together and, upon this, FENAIT should withdraw its motion.

**SIO-INF**: The FENAIT should not withdraw its motion as this problem does not only concern the Italians, but may also appear for other federations. For this reason she suggests that, after this discussion, those associations which are not affiliated to any federations shall get a specific marking, but not in the negative sense, but to differentiate them from the INF sites. During the times we still had the INF tourist guide, the INF sites also had a special marking. But of course we cannot ask them to change something. Yet it would make sense to handle this issue this way in future, so to satisfy everyone.

**AJR-FFN** thanks Sieglinde for this opinion. Of course the INF has to defend its identity and, if members step out of line, it will become difficult on the international level. We have to agree on one method of working applying to all. One may have different opinions, but that’s what we are here for, find a common denominator.

**HGN-NFN**: We agree with that, but we also want to get the opinion from the other federations. Could you get this started?

**JPS-ENC** proposes as summary that, in case the two federations concerned agree with the proposal by the INF Legal Council, they should sit together and solve this problem together. Therefore a vote is not really required.

**ENR-DFK** feels that JPS’s proposal is good, but notes that only the motion applicant can withdraw the motion, nobody else.

**GRI-FENAIT**: I will not withdraw the motion and I have understood what Sieglinde has said. The problem exists and he agrees to come to an agreement with the NFN in order to find a solution, which clearly specifies, which sites are certified or not. He asks the NFN, to check with the sites why they are not certified.

**SIO-INF**: For this reason we should not vote about this, the motion remains as is. The two federations shall sit together and elaborate a solution. This will also be included in writing in the minutes of the EuNat Meeting and after one year we will see, whether this system was successful or whether a rectification is needed.
JPS-ENC suggests that the two federations shall remit a short report about the development of the negotiations to the ENC Secretary, in order to inform the other federations. FENAIT and NFN agree with this.

Break

Item 8.2: Election of the ENC Sports Officer.

JPS-ENC: The 3-year mandate of Jim, which Erika had assumed on provisional base, will expire this year, so we have to elect a new Sports Officer. We hold 2 candidacies, one from Jan PAASHUIS (NFN) and the second from Michael HOFFMANN (DFK). JPS asks Jan to present himself.

EZN-SNU: Question to Jan: in 2010 Switzerland had organized the swim gala. At that time the NFN also had participated, but since then they haven’t anymore. In case you are elected Sports Officer today, will the NFN join the swim gala again?

JPH-NFN: I will try to achieve this, as sport is very important for the federations. But this depends on the financial situation and therefore I cannot commit myself.

JPS-ENC mentions that he recently had received a mail from the Dutch Sports Officer (HSG), in which he officially communicated that in 2015 the NFN will not participate at the swim gala.

JPS asks Michael to present himself.

Before we start the election, PLS-FB requests a 5-minute break so he can consult with his secretary.

The subsequent election gives following result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan Paashuis (JPH)</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Hoffmann</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstentions</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalid</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With 115 of the 164 possible votes JPH has been elected ENC Sports Officer for a mandate of 3 years.

JPS-ENC closes this part of the meeting, continuation at 14.00 hrs.

* * * * *

Continuation of the meeting at 14.00 hrs.

Item 9: Discussion Items

Item 9.1 Unconstitutional changes in the INF-FNI Definition of Naturism

NCT-BN notices that the given definition of Naturism of 1974 has been changed in many publications and on websites in an inadmissible way. He reads the English version, which
has now been translated by a BN member into the English language in the motion. He feels that this translation is the best and comes very close to the one of 1974. He feels that the addition “non-profit association” is not appropriate here, as it could be misleading when dealing with commercial sites. He also criticizes the term “Lifestyle”, which is misleading and it should be replaced by “Way of Life”. The word “Nudism” should not be used anymore, either. According to the translator “Naturism” is female and “Nudism” is male. Therefore we should maintain the female term.

GVK-CZ: We had similar discussions at many congresses. I will not criticize the English version here, because there are many versions in the English language (Queens, American, Canadian, Australian....). In North America the word “naturist” means: birdwatcher. In Europe the term FKK is understood everywhere. At one congress it had been decided to use “naturist/nudist” in our statutes.

SIO-INF reminds that the sentence fixed in 1974 is the only correct one. At that time the INF was registered in Switzerland, and so the German version is correct. In case someone would like to change this, it would have to be proposed for one of the next congresses. Concerning your comment about “non-profit” I have to tell you that this term is mandatory for the associations in many EU countries, because of the tax exemptions and so cannot be omitted.

NCT-BN thinks that SIO had not understood him, yet he sympathizes with those provisions. However, when we put more value on the taxation as on naturism in order to please some bureaucrats, then this is a bad way. In Croatia the English-speaking delegates had to agree with the German version of the statutes and had been very disappointed, as they had no choice. He also notices that he does not agree with the marketing strategy of naturism and that BN has a different procedure herefore. (Other declarations hereto are not stated, as being irrelevant to the motion.)

JPS-ENC: I would like to remind you that the INF has issued a new “Flyer” a few months ago, where the 3 official definitions are stated. We will distribute them to all federations, so that they can adapt or correct their publications and websites.

(Different discussions regarding the Statute of “non-profit”, irrelevant to the motion).

EZN-SNU asks NCT, whether he has made the translations himself or through Internet, as the German translation is almost incomprehensible.

NCT-BN: The DE translation has been done by a BN member, who had lived in Germany for 20 years. The French translation had been done by BN members whose parents were French origin. We have competent people for these tasks.
SIO-INF: You misunderstood ENZ. In the German version not one sentence is correct and therefore it is incomprehensible. So I have to agree with EZN, as one cannot work with such translations.

NCT-BN: Obviously we cannot find a common denominator with the Germans. What do the French people think on this subject?

SIO-INF: That has nothing to do with the definition, it is about the translation. As to the French translation, I can’t say anything; this is up to the French people.

NCT-BN: I cannot accept this like that. He had compared the translations by JPS with those by his people and thinks that JPS has changed the meaning of his motion.

JPS-ENC: Objection: BN had transmitted me the 3 documents, to recheck the FR and DE. I never have changed a sense, as the 3 languages are familiar to me. I have remitted the corrections to BN, but had received their 3 initial documents for the publication. That’s all I have to say and I want to say, as this is incomprehensible to me.

SIO-INF asks the germanophone delegates, whether the page makes any sense?

ENR-DFK: The style somehow is strange and difficult to understand, if one does not know the backgrounds. (Some additional negative comments, which cannot be assigned).

JPS-ENC suggests stopping these discussions, as they are not relevant to the motion. The purpose of the discussion item of BN was the standardization of the basic principle of the INF, which has now been submitted on hand of the “Flyer”.

AJR-FFN does not understand why now these definitions of 1974 should be changed. Were the translations faulty? We should respect this. Should the translations be wrong, we still can correct them. But why is it that this is noticed only now?

SIO-INF: These discussions came up when we started to discuss naturism/nudism in the various languages, as this term for example in North America has a different meaning than here in Europe. We should not change that.

NCT-BN gives some more explanations as to the translations by JPS and still thinks that the sense has partly been changed. He refers to statements by his people with 20 years of experience, as he himself does not master these 2 languages.

JPS-ENC: This looks like a “word by word” translation. I only changed the orthography and the sentence structure of the French and German language. For example: The title contains “Unconstitutional Change”! This should be “Change of Statutes” as the INF does not have a “Constitution”, this applies only to States. JPS explains the same in French (Some more discussions without microphone...)

JPS-ENC: Herewith this Item is closed and we continue with:
Item 9.2: INF-ENC: Various Discussion Items from INF-ENC
(Including Item 10, ENC Events Calendar)

YEARLY EU NAT EVENTS.

The ENC Sport Rules state that the concerned federations have to submit a provisional budget plan together with the program to the ENC Secretary by end of January, for approval. Very often this is missing. Should this be missing in future, the budget of the previous year will be applied and in this case, subsequent payments are invalid.

For the Event Calendar 2015 we still miss some details as to Beach Volleyball, which will be organized by the BFnL (DFK).

From the FEN, only an invitation in English as PDF format is available, the DOC version is needed for the translations. The FEN confirms this.

And then we still have the Swim Gala 2015, JPS asks the FFN:

AJR-FFN: Some difficulties have been encountered for the organization in Lyon (FR). He gives explanations hereto and informs that the Swim Gala 2015 will probably be held again in Mulhouse (FR), with the same budget (€ 15.000)

JPS-ENC answers him that it is very important for the federations to know the date of the event well in time, as many federations arrange internal competitions for sending their members to this event and other participants also want to plan in advance.

AJM-FFN confirms that the swim gala will take place at Mulhouse (FR) from 24th till 26th October, with the same budget as 2014.

JPS-ENC tells AJR that he can now contact the new Sports Officer.

IRO-FEN tells AJR: Should there be problems, the FEN could organize the swim gala 2015 in Cordoba (ES).

GRI-FENAIT: There is a club in Italy, which would like to organize the swim gala 2016 in Andalo, near Trento. JPS: This will be noted into the ENC Calendar 2016.

NCT-BN would like to organize the Petanque Meeting in Broadlands end of August 2016. We will recheck for the date and inform ENC. Beginning September would suit better, because of a bank holiday end of August. JPS suggests him to discuss this with the new ENC Sports Officer.

MHN: Concerning the Petanque Meeting 2015 in Wadern near Saarbrücken (Lichtbund Saar—DFK) I would like to say that so far only registrations by the DFK, FBN and NFN have been received. I want to ask the other federations to catch up as soon as possible. Regarding the accommodations I want to emphasize that we have neither tents nor campers available on the site. A hotel close to the site unfortunately is not available due to a marriage. So you have to use nearby hotels and you will be picked up there by a shuttle bus. I am at your disposal for further questions.

JPS-ENC: This also answers the question by BN.
**AJR-FFN** observes that he also has a resort for the organization of the Petanque Meeting 2016. But this can also be for 2017.

**GRI-FENAIT** proposes to organize the Petanque Tournament 2016 in “Le Betulle”.

**NCT-BN** feels that BN should be given priority here, as they haven’t organized this for long time and a certain rotation should be maintained.

**JPS-ENC** suggests all candidates to submit an offer to the ENC Sports Officer, upon which a decision will be taken.

**GRI-FENAIT** withdraws his offer for Petanque 2016.

Break

**EZM-SNU** asks whether the rule that 5 federations must participate at an event because of the subsidies still exists or whether this has been changed?

**JPS-ENC:** This is not stated in the agenda, but we must clarify this, because this will barely be feasible for the youth group.

**SIO-INF** feels that the youth should be exempted from this, but for the other events this should be maintained. She proposes 3 federations for the youth, but leaves the decision up to the EuNat Assembly.

**EZM-SNU** asks whether this concerns all the ENC events? Answer JPS: Yes.

**PLS-FBN:** For this rule I would like to mention that we know already in advance that for the Beach Volleyball 5 federations will not be present. How can we organize that, if one knows in advance that a subsidy will not be granted? This is incomprehensible to me.

**JPS-ENC:** For this purpose the registration form “A” is provided, which should be submitted in time to the organizer, in order he can arrange himself or perhaps cancel it. *(As happened for the Beach Volleyball 2014)*. I must admit though that this has not always been handled that way.

**PLS-FBN** thinks that, if we decide an event together here, a right for a subsidy exists.

**ENR-DFK** points out that for the planning (e.g. swim gala) considerable costs may already arise beforehand and that the organizer would have to bear these costs himself in case of only 4 federations participating.

**JPS-ENC:** That is why we are sitting here together in order to discuss and find an appropriate solution.
**NCT-BN:** I am disappointed that only now we talk about that and that this was unknown to me before. This rule is discriminatory and not very practical, especially in regards to the countries at the border of the EU like England, Ireland, Portugal....

**JPS-ENC** answers NCT that the basic thought for this rule is not to mix up pure national events with international events. Perhaps we could reduce this required number to 3 federations, as hereby a separation of national and international still is ensured. But that is now my personal opinion. He informs NCT that in Europe and in the INF the word “overseas” stands for other continents and does not apply to England and the rest of Europe. Would he please use the term “Continental Europe” for this.

**NCT-BN** notices here that BN always invites all other federations to their inland events and that these events have always been visited by several participants from “overseas-Continental Europe”. He states a few examples.

**JPS-ENC:** The question now is: What requirement shall we fix now, 3 or 5? I would like to hear your opinion for this.

**SIO-INF:** Officially still 5, although this has not accurately been considered during the last years. The number of 5 goes back to the times when sports still was guided by the INF. It is up to you now, whether you would like to change this.

**JPS-ENC** proposes a vote.
The subsequent vote shows following result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Federations</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstentions</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of now the participation of at least 3 federations are required to grant a subsidy for all ENC and ENY events.

**JPS-ENC:** The new Sports Officer JPH has made a proposal that for the item Sports he would like to closely collaborate with MHN. JPS asks MHN whether he agrees with this? Answer: Yes. JPS emphasizes that JPH remains the main responsible person for Sports and that all correspondence must be made through his official e-mail address: eu-sport@inf-fni.org. *(Applause in the room for this cooperation.)*

Break

**JPS-ENC:** Item 2 of the INF-ENC Discussions.
In order to reduce expenses, we could amend the dates of the EuNat Meetings as follows:
- One EuNat Meeting in between of the years where a Congress takes place;
- One EuNat Meeting combined with the Congress, namely the previous day at the same location.

*(Further details of this proposal are stated in the reference document.)*
**ENR-DFK:** The proposal is quite reasonable, but there is a snag to it: if the Congress takes place in New Zealand, I will not travel down there just for one day.

**JPS-ENC:** Combined with Congress!

**SIO-INF:** All the EU federations are invited to the Congresses, too! It would have the advantage that the EU federations would have to travel only once. Regarding finance, it would be an advantage not only for the smaller federations.

**EZN-SNU** thinks that in this case (EuNat and Congress), after a possible decision at EuNat it would be impossible to submit a motion to the congress within the deadlines.

**SIO-INF:** During the last years the number of motions of EuNat has decreased enormously, compared to the foundation of EuNat as nowadays everything runs fairly fine. There hardly will be any major changes.

**NCT-BN:** I think the timing (21 months before the congress) is badly chosen, so to take a decision here. As to the budget 2016 he feels that, in this case, this can be approved by November 2016 only, which is unimaginable. We could initiate a 2-year EuNat Meeting, but only after 2016.

**PLK-FLN** thinks that during the EuNat Meeting last year this had been discussed, to organize EuNat Meetings on 2 years base only, for cost reasons.

**JPS-ENC:** This had been discussed but there was no vote about it.

**EZN-SNU** has the same opinion.

**HGN-NFN** thinks that this was meant for only once in New Zealand.

**GRI-FENAIT:** This was a one-time proposal by JPS for 2016, but there had been no vote.

**COE-INA:** For a small federation it is impossible to participate at both a EuNat Meeting and the Congress in 2016.

**PLS-FBN:** I agree with BN regarding the vote of the budget 2016, because at that moment we will have no approved budget for this year.

**GRI-FENAIT:** We could also vote for the budget 2016, as only slightly deviating from the 2015 budget, this could be done in 5 minutes.

**PLS-FBN:** This also depends on the new elected persons in the ENC Committee, who might have new ideas and then the budget would have to be amended again.

**GRI-FENAIT** agrees with PLS, but asks how many small federations will participate, based on their present refusals to attend the congress. At the congress nearly all of them had agreed!
ENR-DFK: This is valid not only for small federations, as the present DFK Committee has decided not to go to New Zealand.

HGN-NFN: I cannot understand that now, because in Ireland nearly all the federations had agreed. They must have known what to expect.

GRI-FENAIT would like to know who will travel to New Zealand. He asks what will be the costs for a flight to New Zealand and for the stay.

JPS-ENC: The organizer will submit us a cost proposal, which will also include the costs for an additional week before and a week after the congress. (For people who would like to stay a bit longer.) The stay as such for the congress days is about 500 NZ $. We will transmit everything as soon as we have received it. It is estimated that the allover costs will be around € 3000. Who will be present in New Zealand?

EZN-SNU: The subsidy of € 1000 for the EU federations still must be deducted, so it will be about € 2000 only.

RBH-INF thinks that the costs could be around € 5000 in case one does not want to fly with a low-cost carrier.

NCT-BN is astonished about these costs, as from London one can fly to New Zealand for about £ 959. He thinks that one can fly from Europe to New Zealand for about £ 1200. Just have a look at the website “Expedia”.

JPS-ENC: We close this Item, and continue with:

**Item 4: Survey about the age structure at the clubs.**

(Had been distributed to all clubs worldwide) Unfortunately about 180 e-mail addresses were wrong or no more active. We therefore ask the federations to recheck with their clubs to verify access to their data. In case an e-mail address has to be changed, the clubs shall contact me directly (vicepresident@inf-fni.org ) as they cannot arrange the correction themselves. In near future we will send a questionnaire to all federations with more precise information for publication purposes.

NCT-BN notices that about 25% of their clubs change their e-mail address every year, without informing BN about it. Regarding the age structure he regrets not to be able to give information, as the clubs register age details of people below 18 only. He suggests estimating the age structure.

SIO-INF: But I had received feedback from 3 BN clubs. So it must be possible.

NCT-BN: For reasons of data protection it is forbidden to save this information, however clubs form Southern England are not that accurate in this respect.

**JPS-ENC**: Is in the Download for long time already, but participation leaves much to be desired. Therefore one request to the federations, please check in the protected download to appoint more participants. Because for the time being it is not possible to elaborate a synthesis for the Congress.

**AJR-FFN** does not know how to register. JPS gives him the hint to use the forms in the Download. JPS gives some additional information as to the working procedures in the working plan. AJR would also like to get a reminder in case something changes in the working plan.

**NCT-BN** also wishes that the responsible persons contact the registered persons. SIO answers him that this was not possible for the EU Assessor for reasons of time and that we will find a solution.

**JPS-ENC** asks IRO-FEN because he had offered his help at the Congress 2014. IRO replies that he had registered with the new commission to be implemented, not for the working plan.

**SIO-INF**: There must be some misunderstanding, as no new commission has ever been founded, because the FFN motion has been included into the working plan.

**AJR-FFN** says that he has not found this item in the working plan and that for this reason there is no registration yet. He thinks that the item concerns the legislation in the countries. They will recheck this. He recalls that SIO had refused a commission, as it is already integrated in the working plan under Item 6.

**JPS-ENC** mentions that it is Item 13: International Cooperation among Naturists.

**AJM-FFN**: As to the previous item he would like to point out that today he has found a flight ticket for € 1091 with Air France, so well below the amount of € 2000, all taxes included.  
*(Some more different discussion about these costs, not relevant.)*

**JPS-ENC**: As the proposal to combine EuNat with Congress has not been accepted, we would still need a candidacy for EuNat 2016.

**SIO-INF** suggests that the federations should think over once more and that we will discuss this as first item tomorrow morning.

End of the meeting of 27\textsuperscript{th} March 2015, see you tomorrow at 9.  
*****

Continuation of the meeting. (28\textsuperscript{th} March 2015, 9.00 hrs)
JPS-ENC: We have elected a new Sports Officer yesterday; this still has to be confirmed by the CC of the INF.

SIO-INF: The approval by the CC is confirmed. SIO welcomes JPH, wishes him much success and offers him the assistance by the CC, whenever needed.

AJR-FFN: (Gives some more details as to the flight ticket to New Zealand). In case the Congress was to be combined with EuNat, the small federations (< 400 members) would get a subsidy of € 400 from EuNat. So there would be no major expenses for them. In case this does not happen, FFN would like to organize the EuNat Meeting. He proposes the site “Domaine Laborde” in Southern France. (EuNat 2009 was in Laborde). He asks at what time period this should be held?

JPS-ENC reminds him of the requirements for INF motions, which must be submitted at least 4 months before the congress. As the congress takes place in November only, one could organize the EuNat Meeting 2016 end of May. (As far as this will be OK for Laborde, in view of the high season.) AJR will communicate the dates well in time to ENC.

NCT-BN says he did not get this and wishes a repetition.

JPS-ENC repeats the information by the FFN. ENC will inform the federations in due time.

Item 11: ENC Provisional Budget 2015

Item 11.1 ENY Provisional Budget 2015

JPS-ENC asks EZR to present the provisional budget for the Youth Group (ENY)

EZR-ENC: We did not make any change to the provisional budget 2015, it is identical with the one from 2014, so € 16.800.

NCT-BN: According to the statistics the youth has spent only 25% of its budget for the last years. I would like to emphasize that I do not want to allocate less money to the youth. Could we not reduce the budget for the youth? Then they still would have enough money available.

ENR-DFK agrees with NCT and in view of the present statistics he suggests to reduce the youth budget down to € 10.000. Then there would be a margin of 100% upwards and in case the youth would organize some special events, we would be the last ones who would not agree to allocate additional funds to the youth group. An unnecessarily bloated budget is not serious.

JPS-ENC reminds ENR that an increase of funds during the current year is subject to the approval by the EuNat Meeting. The ENC Commission cannot approve this.
**GRI-FENAIT:** This is because not many federations have participated at the meetings with their young people. This should really be promoted and more money should be made available to the federations with lower budgets.

**NCT-BN:** Two years ago I had made the proposal to increase the subsidy per participant from € 50 to € 60. How is this presently handled?

**GRI-FENAIT** agrees with NCT, because € 90 are allocated for the events like swim gala and pétanque tournament. That should be changed. He suggests to increase the subsidy to € 60.

**JPS-ENC:** Should the youth group wish to have this, they should apply for it now. We are far from wanting to impose rules to the youth group, as they actually should work independently.

**NCT-BN** agrees with GRI. His motion in Italy for € 60 has duly been accepted and he asks EZR, whether that has been implemented?

**EZR-ENC:** We wanted to vote for this but we did not have the required majority of 2/3 to decide on that.

**NCT-BN** finds this is ridiculous that, although his motion (Italy 2012) had been accepted, the € 60 have not been allocated to the youth groups. Hereby we are just making their job unnecessarily difficult.

**SIO-INF** gives additional information to NCT why the budget 2014 has not been exhausted. The subsidy of € 50 remained for the Spring Meeting, as the structure remained the same for many years. The Summer Meeting is different, as it is being organized by the youth group itself. The meeting in Solaris had costs of only about € 500 because Solaris had granted very favourable conditions. Otherwise the costs would have been € 2-3000. One has to always consider all items, because such discounts are not granted everywhere.

**ENR-DFK:** 10 participants at € 50 each make € 500, how do you come to several thousands of Euros?
(Several discussions without microphone)

**JPS-ENC:** Vote for the Provisional Budget of the youth group.
The subsequent vote shows following result:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstentions</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As abstentions are not considered, the provisional budget for the youth group is herewith approved.
**Item 11.2 ENC Budget 2015**

JPS-ENC remits a corrected budget draft to the delegates, as the FFN has withdrawn the organizations of the swim gala with a budget of € 22,000.  
JPS gives further explanations to the delegates regarding the provisional budget.

AJR-FFN explains to the delegates that the venue (LYON-FR) was cancelled because of insurmountable difficulties with the authorities and that therefore the organization will take place in Mulhouse, with the same budget as for 2014, so savings of more than € 10,000 compared to Lyon.

PLS-FBN: The FBN had exceeded the budget for Pétanque 2014 by € 1,028,45 and asks the assembly to approve the additional payment for this amount. He gives the explanations needed herefor. He also mentions that such a subsequent payment should be paid right after the verification by the responsible parties, without having to wait for a whole year.

JPS-ENC confirms the details by PLS. So far the commission had immediately approved such supplementary amounts, up to a limit of +/- 10% of the household. Yet, he agrees with PLS that, after the verification, such amounts should be paid immediately, so that smaller federations should not have to wait a year to get their money. If you agree, the commission will immediately transfer all justified amounts, subject to approval by the next EuNat Meeting. In case of negative decision, the amount still can be adjusted via the current account of the INF.

AJR-FFN insists that the budget limits for the EuNat events must be respected. As example he states the swim gala, for which the organizer had negotiated as long as until the given budget was adhered to. It is not enough to rely on the fact that any exceeded budget will be settled. It is our budget, which is not unlimited.

GRI-FENAIT deviates from the topic and gives advice for the youth budget to EZR.

NCT-BN has a question about the account 9220 (discounts). What is that?

RBH-INF explains that this discount had been granted for the advertising of Solaris-Valamar on the INF website, because they had granted substantial discounts for Alpe-Adria as well as for the Youth Meeting 2014.

NCT-BN has not understood this, but will inform himself at RBH afterwards, it is not that important. He agrees with the French regarding the excess budgets and hopes that the FFN will adhere to the budget also for the swim gala. He says that only a serious management can avoid this. *(Irrelevant declarations are not stated here.)*

RBH-INF answers to NCT: Concerning Marketing: we do that by making our website available for paid advertising, we collect € 1,000 per advertising. In case one of the advertising customers grants us financial advantages for our events, we have to consider.
JPS-ENC: So the budget 2015 will close with a surplus of € 60,955,55.

Vote:

The subsequent vote gives following result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pro</th>
<th>149</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contra</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstentions</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Herewith the ENC Budget is approved with 149 votes.

Item 12: Information by the INF and Question

JPS-ENC: The CC-INF will have a meeting on 17th and 18th April 2015. In case federations have questions for the CC, they should submit them to the INF Secretariat, so they can be included in the agenda.

EZN-SNU asks whether the CC could show up with a “uniform outfit” at the World Congress?

SIO-INF: I will have to discuss that with our Finance Minister, that costs money….. (A few additional funny comments hereto, without microphone.)

NCT-BN: I would like to invite the CC-INF and ENC to our “Nudefest”, whenever possible. It would be very useful for the publicity of our “Nudefest”.

SIO-INF: We will discuss that during our CC Meeting.

AJR-FFN: We had talked about the organization of an international Rally for the World Naturist Day. Anyone who wants to organize that? It should be organized in different countries, not necessarily simultaneously. The purpose is a publicity action in the media for the INF and the participating federations.

JPS-ENC asks AJR to submit a proposal to the INF.

SIO-INF: During the last congress we had decided to change the format of Focus. We also ask the federations to send us articles for publication in the Focus. Perhaps articles published in your magazines, may be interesting to other federations worldwide. It would increase the value of Focus and the dissemination would be far larger through the translation into the 3 INF languages.

Break

RBH-INF: I am getting worried by the finances, as we close the year with a minus of € 5,000, the forecast was a break-even.

Even worse is the loss of members, which is 13,000 from 2014 to 2015. The largest discrepancy is with the FFN, with 7000 members less. With the NFN the loss of members lies at 2000 members. At some federations a slight increase is noted, but without a big effect on the result. This loss is very alarming.
**ENR-DFK:** Now knows the loss of members at INF, but he would like to know the present number of INF members.

**RBH-INF:** Answers the question.

**GRI-FENAIT:** Last year we have lost 800 members. People are going to the free beaches and do not pay for any membership fee. The certified sites ask why they must be member of a federation and pay, whereas others, non-certified clubs get the same publicity. He estimates the loss at 400 members for 2015.

**AJR-FFN:** In France we have a decrease of members because of the holiday resort at Montalivet. They don’t see a commercial advantage for them, either. If we address this problem only individually, instead of together, there will be no improvement. The individual naturists do not see an advantage by acquiring an INF licence, as they have access to the sites anyhow. In order to encourage the sites to sell INF licences, we have to grant them some specific advantages. But we should wait for the result.

**NCT-BN:** We take this problem very seriously at the BN Board. Presently we notice an increase of new members. We have two problems:
1. Keep the present members.
2. Keep the new members beyond one year. We do have many new members, but they leave us again after one year. In addition we do not have a direct contact with our members, as they mostly belong to clubs and so are not directly connected to BN.
We also dealt with the age structure and many people think that one can become naturist at age 18 only. We also try to lower the present average age from +50 down to 45 and to make naturism more attractive to female members, by organizing more events.

**RBH-INF:** Question for NCT-BN: You mention an increasing number of members. But for 2015 you have received 500 licences less than for the previous year. Do the new BN members not get a licence for the first year? According to the INF Statutes all members must get a licence, whether they stay for one year only or not.

**NCT-BN:** We do not betray the INF and we do not lie, each new member gets a licence. Presently we have about 1000 new members per year. A few years ago it was only 450. But about 450 members per year are leaving us. At the end the loss is higher than the number of new members.

**GRI-FENAIT:** Already 10 years ago we had predicted a reduction of members. This has now happened. I have noticed at our site that couples register with one licence only. In Croatia no licence is being asked for at all to enter a site. France had the same problem with France-4. (*He mentions a few other sites with similar details*). He concludes that the licences should be asked for and, where applicable, a licence should be acquired.
**AJR-FFN**: In France the licence costs € 16. France notices many new arrivals, but the same amount of departures and that is alarming. Since September we cooperate with a press officer, in order to get a better communication with the public. This has proved its effectiveness during the swim gala 2014 in Mulhouse, where this event had been mentioned in more than 50 public media. We have to show ourselves more in public, so that the holiday resorts must not prescribe the licence, but that guests will ask for them, because they are convinced of the naturist movement. We also must demonstrate the presence of an international federation, which holds an enormous potential of addresses worldwide.

**GRI-FENAIT**: Today naturism is known worldwide through the social media. It is no more like in the 50ies or 60ies. *(He gives a review as naturist since 1864)*. Today naturism is practiced most of the time on the free beaches. The most important partners are and remain the holiday resorts.

**ERI-FPN**: This is the view of the FPN and I think it is a bit different. The former responsible persons only wanted to make the club grow, whereas we concentrated more on publicity for the adolescents. Here I do not mean 18-year old people, but young families with children. He mentions that most of the clubs are being managed by elderly persons and that this should be changed, in order to convey more self-esteem to the younger people. I would like to clarify that this is not aimed against the elder generation. Young people can feel comfortable among their peers only. That is my personal experience during the last two years.

**Item 13: Various**
This Item is not needed as no one wants to speak anymore.

**GVK-CZ**: I would like to come back again to the discussion for the World Congress 2016 yesterday, where the DFK said, that they will not participate due to lack of funds. Is that correct?

**ENR-DFK**: It is not about money, but about the travel duration of 30 hours the way down and 30 hours the way back. For our management this is too time-consuming. 3 days the way down, 3 days conference and 3 days back, this not sustainable for members still being professional.

**GVK-CZ** is glad to hear that it is not about money. But as we have been talking about money and loss of members, I can say that, regarding commercial resorts, they want to earn money. They have costs for maintance and staff. Years ago SIO and myself - when we were in Montalivet - had visited several holiday resorts and they were willing to grant deductions to INF members. But they wanted to get something in return. *(E.g. advertizing in the INF website)*. That would encourage visitors to buy INF licences. An example for us: one club has 70 members. But when it organizes an event in Aquaparc, there are about 1000 persons, but these do not want to buy an INF family licence for € 24, as they cannot see an advantage. In Croatia it is similar. That’s the job of the INF.

**Item 14: World Naturist Days 2016** *(Northern and Southern Hemisphere)*
**AJR-FFN:** We just talked about the World Naturist Day. Question: What do we want to organize specifically? **JPS** answers: The respective federations are responsible for it.

**JPS-ENC:** We just fixed the date for this day; it is the 5\textsuperscript{th} June 2016 for the Northern Hemisphere. As desired by the South-Africans the World Naturist Day for the Southern Hemisphere has been postponed to the 4\textsuperscript{th} December 2016, as in June they have wintertime.

**Last Item: Farewell**

**JPS-ENC** thanks all the participants for their active cooperation and participation at the EuNat Assembly, and he especially thanks the Management of Fuussekaul, which provided accommodation and food at this meeting. He hopes to see you again at the next EuNat Meeting in Laborde (France) and passes the microphone to SIO.

**SIO-ENC:** We will see us again tonight for the gala-dinner and then we can extensively say goodbye. Thanks to all members for the participation. Good ideas have been brought forward and so we can start trying to implement them. I look forward to see you all again at the next meeting. Thank you !!!!

**JPS-ENC** still mentions, that the press has been invited for Saturday afternoon, 14.00 hrs. Presence of some participants would be highly desirable.

**Thank You and Bye Bye!**

*(JPS closes the meeting around 11.00)*
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